

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/692,524	Applicant(s) JOHNSON, STEVE	
	Examiner INSUN KANG	Art Unit 2193	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) INSUN KANG (examiner).

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Canning (reg. 35,470).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendments in this examiner's amendment that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims and obviate any rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd and specification objection were discussed during the interview. An agreement has been reached.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)